

**SPECTRA IN SOME INVERSE
SEMIGROUP ALGEBRA**

**M.J. Crabb
J. Duncan
C.M. McGregor**

SPECTRA IN SOME INVERSE SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS

By

M.J. CRABB

Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow

J. DUNCAN

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

and

C.M. MCGREGOR*

Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow

[Received 3 July 2003.]

ABSTRACT

Let S be the semigroup with generators s_j, s_j^* ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) and defining relations $s_j^*s_j = 1$, $s_j^*s_k = \theta$ ($j \neq k$), where 1 is the identity and θ the zero. We determine the spectrum of the average of these generators in $\ell^1(S)/C\theta$, showing it to be an ellipse together with its interior. The major and minor diameters of the ellipse are $1 + 1/N$ and $1 - 1/N$, respectively. So the interior is non-empty except in the degenerate case $N = 1$.

Introduction

The spectrum of an element in a Banach algebra, along with its functional calculus, constitutes a very powerful tool for the study of the Banach algebra. Yet it is often difficult to calculate the spectrum of a given element in a specific Banach algebra. For the case of the measure algebra of free, and related, groups (in other words, $\ell^1(F_n)$), the average of the generators and their inverses give examples of self-adjoint elements whose spectrum is an ellipse together with its interior; the necessary computations were carried out by Duncan and Williamson [7] by two different methods. Recently, Niels Laustsen asked the second author a similar question when the free group is replaced by an inverse semigroup related to the Cuntz O_n algebras. Laustsen was able to answer his question about whether the algebra was hermitian by using Proposition 3.1.8 of Dales [5] together with a simple computation (see Dales, Laustsen and Read [6]). The method of proof of the above Proposition 3.1.8 produces non-real elements in the spectrum of the average of the generators and their inverses, but it does not determine the complete spectrum. Our aim here is to determine that complete spectrum. Of the two methods used in [7] only the second method seems to be feasible here, namely the use of generalized Chebyshev polynomials. This method seems to be particularly well suited to the

*Corresponding author, e-mail: C.McGregor@maths.gla.ac.uk

Banach algebra $\ell^1(S)$ when the semigroup S has a well behaved notion of the length of elements.

Let $J = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and let S be the involution semigroup with generators s_j, s_j^* ($j \in J$) subject to the relations:

$$s_j^* s_j = 1, \quad s_j^* s_k = \theta \quad (j \neq k)$$

where 1 is the identity and θ is the zero in the semigroup. The elements of S are the reduced words in s_j, s_j^* ($j \in J$) and reductions occur only with the above relations. Then S is an inverse semigroup and $*$ provides the generalized inverse in the usual way. In [4], Crabb and Munn point out that S is, in fact, a polycyclic semigroup in the sense of [8]. In particular, when $N = 1$ we get the bicyclic semigroup whose Banach semigroup algebra is indeed hermitian by Theorem 3.2.12 of Wordingham [9]. We include a proof of this. As in the original Laustsen question, we shall work with the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)/C\theta$. It is easy to see that the norm in \mathcal{A} is just the ℓ^1 norm on $S \setminus \{\theta\}$.

Let μ_n be the average of the words of length n and, for simplicity, write μ_1 as μ . We shall prove that $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$, the spectrum of μ in \mathcal{A} , is an ellipse together with its interior. Specifically,

$$\text{Sp}(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = \left\{ \gamma + i\delta : \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{\gamma^2}{A^2} + \frac{\delta^2}{B^2} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $A = (N + 1)/(2N)$ and $B = (N - 1)/(2N)$; this degenerates to the line segment $[-1, 1]$ when $N = 1$. The main steps in the process are: first, to show that $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{B}, \mu)$ where \mathcal{B} is the closed subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated by μ and 1; secondly, to establish that $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is the ellipse plus interior defined above.

Preliminaries

Let S° denote the set of words in S which use only the generators s_j ($j \in J$), together with 1. In view of the defining relations, each word in $S \setminus \{\theta\}$ can be expressed uniquely in the form uv^* where $u, v \in S^\circ$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{W}_n be the set of words of length n in S and let

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{uv^* \in \mathcal{W}_n : u, v \in S^\circ, u \neq 1\}, \quad \mathcal{V}_n = \{v^* \in \mathcal{W}_n : v \in S^\circ\}.$$

Additionally, define $\mathcal{W}_0 = \{1\}$. For any finite subset T of S , write ΣT for the sum of the elements of T . Then, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (s_1 + \dots + s_N) \Sigma \mathcal{W}_n &= \Sigma \mathcal{U}_{n+1}, \\ (s_1^* + \dots + s_N^*) \Sigma \mathcal{V}_n &= \Sigma \mathcal{V}_{n+1}, \\ (s_1^* + \dots + s_N^*) \Sigma \mathcal{U}_n &= N \Sigma \mathcal{W}_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2N\mu = s_1 + \dots + s_N + s_1^* + \dots + s_N^*$ it follows that

$$2N\mu \Sigma \mathcal{W}_n = \Sigma \mathcal{W}_{n+1} + N \Sigma \mathcal{W}_{n-1}.$$

The number of words in \mathcal{S} of the form uv^* where $u, v \in \mathcal{S}^*$ with u of length r and v of length $n-r$, is $N^r N^{n-r} = N^n$. Hence $|\mathcal{W}_n| = (n+1)N^n$ and it follows that

$$\mu \mu_n = \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)} \mu_{n+1} + \frac{n}{2N(n+1)} \mu_{n-1}. \quad (1)$$

A simple induction argument shows that each μ_n is a polynomial of degree n in μ , and so the linear span of the μ_n is just the set of polynomials in μ . Call this set \mathcal{P} and note that its closure is \mathcal{B} .

We can extend $*$ to an involution on \mathcal{A} . For $a = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_w w$ where the $\alpha_w \in \mathbb{C}$, define

$$a^* = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{S}} \bar{\alpha}_w w^*$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ denotes the complex conjugate of α . Note that if μ commutes with a then

$$\mu a^* = \mu^* a^* = (a\mu)^* = (\mu a)^* = a^* \mu^* = a^* \mu$$

so that μ also commutes with a^* . It is also useful to have the following notion of support. With a as above, define

$$\text{supp}(a) = \{w \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{\theta\} : \alpha_w \neq 0\}.$$

Main Result

Let

$$\sigma = s_1 + \cdots + s_N + s_1^* + \cdots + s_N^* \quad (= 2N\mu).$$

Then, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\text{supp}(z) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_n$, write $\sigma z = \bar{z} + \bar{z}^*$ where $\text{supp}(\bar{z}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{n+1}$ and $\text{supp}(\bar{z}^*) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $z \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\text{supp}(z) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_n$ and $z = z^*$. Then*

$$\|\bar{z} - \bar{z}^*\| \leq \|\bar{z} - \bar{z}^*\|.$$

If $\bar{z} = \bar{z}^$ then $z \in \mathcal{P}$.*

PROOF. Let $z = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_n} \alpha_w w$ where the $\alpha_w \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that, since $z = z^*$, $\bar{\alpha}_w = \alpha_{w^*}$. Consider

$$\bar{z} = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_n} \alpha_w (s_1 + \cdots + s_N)w + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}_n} \alpha_w (s_1^* + \cdots + s_N^*)w$$

and

$$\bar{z}^* = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_n} \bar{\alpha}_w w^* (s_1^* + \cdots + s_N^*) + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}_n} \bar{\alpha}_w w^* (s_1 + \cdots + s_N).$$

From the reduced forms of the words involved we deduce that the coefficient of $s_j w$ in \bar{z} is α_w and the coefficient of the same word in \bar{z}^* is $\bar{\alpha}_{(s_j \bar{w})}$ where \bar{w} is the

word obtained from w by deleting the last generator in its reduced form (when w has length 1, $\tilde{w} = 1$). Since $\overline{\alpha_{(s_j \tilde{w})^*}} = \alpha_{(s_j \tilde{w})}$ we get

$$\|\bar{z} - \bar{z}^*\| \geq \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W}_n \\ j \in J}} |\alpha_w - \alpha_{s_j \tilde{w}}|. \quad (2)$$

Next, consider

$$\bar{z} = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{U}_n} \alpha_w (s_1^* + \cdots + s_N^*) w \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z}^* = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{U}_n} \overline{\alpha_w} w^* (s_1 + \cdots + s_N).$$

For any $w \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$, the coefficients of w in \bar{z} and \bar{z}^* are $\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{s_j w}$ and $\sum_{j \in J} \overline{\alpha_{s_j w^*}}$, respectively. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{z} - \bar{z}^*\| &= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{j \in J} (\alpha_{s_j w} - \overline{\alpha_{s_j w^*}}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \\ j \in J}} |(\alpha_{s_j w} - \overline{\alpha_{s_j w^*}})| = \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \\ j \in J}} |(\alpha_{s_j w} - \alpha_{w s_j^*})| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \\ j \in J}} |(\alpha_{w s_j^*} - \alpha_{s_j(\tilde{w} s_j^*)})| \leq \|\bar{z} - \bar{z}^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $\bar{z} = \bar{z}^*$ and write s for the generator s_1 . It follows from (2) that, for each $w \in \mathcal{W}_n$, $\alpha_w = \alpha_{s \tilde{w}}$. Applying this successively gives $\alpha_w = \alpha_{s^N}$. So $z = \alpha_{s^N} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_n} w = \alpha \mu_n$ for some scalar α . Hence $z \in \mathcal{P}$. ■

To show that $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{B}, \mu)$ it is enough to prove the following.

Proposition 2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $a\sigma = \sigma a$. Then $a \in \mathcal{B}$.*

PROOF. Suppose, to begin with, that $a = a^*$. Write

$$a = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma a = b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + \cdots$$

where $\text{supp}(a_n)$ and $\text{supp}(b_n)$ are subsets of \mathcal{W}_n . Since $(\sigma a)^* = \sigma a$, each $b_n = b_n^*$. Suppose a_k is the first term of a not in \mathcal{P} . Certainly $k \geq 1$. Then, by Lemma 1, $\overline{a_k} \neq \overline{a_k^*}$ so we can write $\|\overline{a_k} - \overline{a_k^*}\| = \varepsilon > 0$. Since $b_{k+1} = \overline{a_k} + \overline{a_{k+2}}$ it follows that $\|\overline{a_{k+2}} - \overline{a_{k+2}^*}\| = \varepsilon$. Lemma 1 then gives $\|\overline{a_{k+2}} - \overline{a_{k+2}^*}\| \geq \varepsilon$. Continuing we get

$$\|\overline{a_{k+2m}} - \overline{a_{k+2m}^*}\| \geq \varepsilon \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

But $\|\overline{a_n}\| \leq \|\overline{a_n}\| + \|\overline{a_n}\| = \|\overline{a_n} + \overline{a_n}\| = \|\sigma a_n\| \rightarrow 0$ which gives a contradiction. It follows that $a_n \in \mathcal{P}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and hence $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Finally, remove the condition $a = a^*$. The above argument applies to both $b = a + a^*$ and $c = i(a - a^*)$. Hence $a = \frac{1}{2}(b - ic) \in \mathcal{B}$, as required. ■

Lemma 3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let π_n be a polynomial of degree n such that $\text{supp}(\pi_n(\mu)) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_n$ and $\text{supp}(\pi_n(\mu) - \mu^n) \subseteq \cup\{\mathcal{W}_j : j < n\}$. Then

$$\text{Sp}(\mathcal{B}, \mu) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\pi_n(\lambda)| \leq \|\pi_n(\mu)\| \ (n \in \mathbb{N})\}.$$

[The π_n are generalised Chebyshev polynomials in the sense of [7].]

PROOF. This is similar to the proof of Lemma 3 in [7]. ■

In the proof of the main result, below, U_n denotes the Chebyshev polynomial defined by $U_n(\cos \phi) = \sin(n + 1)\phi / \sin \phi$. Recall the recurrence relation

$$2xU_n(x) = U_{n+1}(x) + U_{n-1}(x). \tag{3}$$

Theorem 4. Let $A = \frac{N + 1}{2N}$ and $B = \frac{N - 1}{2N}$. Then $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = E$ where

$$E = \left\{ \gamma + i\delta : \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{\gamma^2}{A^2} + \frac{\delta^2}{B^2} \leq 1 \right\}$$

when $N \neq 1$, and $E = [-1, 1]$ when $N = 1$.

PROOF. In view of Proposition 2, it is enough to prove that $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{B}, \mu) = E$. We give the proof for $N \neq 1$. Minor adjustments cover the remaining case.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\rho_n(\mu) = (n + 1)N^{n/2}\mu^n$. Then, from (1), $2\sqrt{N}\mu\rho_n(\mu) = \rho_{n+1}(\mu) + \rho_{n-1}(\mu)$. Comparing this with (3), and ρ_j with U_j ($j = 1, 2$), we deduce that $\rho_n(\mu) = U_n(\sqrt{N}\mu)$. An easy induction argument applied to (3) gives the generalised Chebyshev polynomials of Lemma 3 as $\pi_n(\mu) = (2\sqrt{N})^{-n}\rho(\mu) = (n + 1)2^{-n}\mu^n$. Hence $\lambda \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{B}, \mu)$ if and only if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\rho_n(\lambda)| \leq \|\rho_n(\mu)\|$, i.e. $|U_n(\sqrt{N}\lambda)| \leq (n + 1)N^{n/2}$, i.e.

$$|\sin(n + 1)\zeta| \leq (n + 1)N^{n/2}|\sin \zeta| \tag{4}$$

where $\cos \zeta = \sqrt{N}\lambda$. Let $\lambda = \gamma + i\delta$ and $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, where $\gamma, \delta, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\eta_0 = \log \sqrt{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in E &\iff \frac{\gamma^2}{A^2} + \frac{\delta^2}{B^2} \leq 1 \\ &\iff \frac{\cos^2 \xi \cosh^2 \eta}{NA^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \xi \sinh^2 \eta}{NB^2} \leq 1 \\ &\iff \frac{\cos^2 \xi \cosh^2 \eta}{\cosh^2 \eta_0} + \frac{\sin^2 \xi \sinh^2 \eta}{\sinh^2 \eta_0} \leq 1 \\ &\iff \cosh^2 \eta \leq \cosh^2 \eta_0 \\ &\quad (\text{since } \cosh^2 \eta > \cosh^2 \eta_0 \iff \sinh^2 \eta > \sinh^2 \eta_0) \\ &\iff |\eta| \leq |\eta_0|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda \in E$ if and only if $|\eta| \leq \log \sqrt{N}$. To complete the proof we show that the latter condition is satisfied if and only if (4) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Inequality (4) is equivalent to

$$\sin^2(n+1)\xi + \sinh^2(n+1)\eta \leq (n+1)^2 N^n (\sin^2 \xi + \sinh^2 \eta)$$

and this will hold if

$$\sin^2(n+1)\xi \leq (n+1)^2 N^n \sin^2 \xi \quad (5)$$

and

$$\sinh^2(n+1)\eta \leq (n+1)^2 N^n \sinh^2 \eta \quad (6)$$

An elementary induction argument gives $|\sin(n+1)\xi| \leq (n+1)|\sin \xi|$, and hence (5), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Another induction argument gives $\eta \mapsto \sinh(n+1)\eta / \sinh \eta$ increasing for $\eta \geq 0$. Hence it is enough to verify (6) for $\eta = \log \sqrt{N}$. Then (6) routinely reduces to $n+1 \leq nN + N^{-n}$ which clearly holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, suppose that (4) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$|\sin(n+1)\zeta|^{1/n} \leq (n+1)^{1/n} \sqrt{N} |\sin \zeta|^{1/n}$$

and, for $\zeta \neq 0$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $e^{|\eta|} \leq \sqrt{N}$, i.e. $|\eta| \leq \log \sqrt{N}$. ■

Concluding Remarks

1. When $N \neq 1$, $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ has non-empty interior and hence \mathcal{A} is not hermitian. On the other hand, as was mentioned in the introduction, \mathcal{A} is hermitian when $N = 1$, i.e. when \mathcal{S} is the bicyclic semigroup. This follows from Theorem 3.2.12 in [9]. However, as this reference is a little difficult to access, we offer here the following proof distilled from results in [9].

Proposition 5. *Let $N = 1$. Then \mathcal{A} is hermitian.*

PROOF. It is enough to show that $1 + a^*a$ is invertible for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. See, for example, Bonsall and Duncan [3] (Remark on page 226).

Write s for s_1 so that $s^*s = 1$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{s^m s^{*n} : m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Let \mathcal{C} be the ℓ^1 -algebra of the free group on one generator g and let $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the homomorphism defined by $\psi(s) = g$ and $\psi(s^*) = g^{-1}$. We show that the kernel of ψ is the closed left ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{A} generated by $\{p_n = e_n - e_{n+1} : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ where $e_n = s^n s^{*n}$. Since $\psi(p_n) = 0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) it follows that \mathcal{I} is contained in the kernel. For the reverse inclusion, let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\psi(x) = 0$. We can write $x = \sum \alpha_{kn} s^k e_n$ where $\alpha_{kn} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sum |\alpha_{kn}| < \infty$, the sum being taken over $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $s^{-m} = s^{*m}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $\psi(x) = \sum \alpha_{kn} g^k = 0$ so that $\sum_n \alpha_{kn} = 0$. Hence $x = \sum \alpha_{kn} s^k q_n$ where $q_n = e_n - 1 = -p_0 - \dots - p_{n-1} \in \mathcal{I}$. Since \mathcal{I} is a closed left ideal of \mathcal{A} it follows that $x \in \mathcal{I}$.

Now let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and suppose that $\mathcal{A}(1 + a^*a) \neq \mathcal{A}$. Let \mathcal{L} be a maximal left ideal of \mathcal{A} which contains $\mathcal{A}(1 + a^*a)$.

Case 1. Suppose $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. Then \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{I} is a proper left ideal of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} . Since \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} is isomorphic to \mathcal{C} and $\psi(1 + a^*a)$ is invertible, \mathcal{C} being hermitian, we have a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose $\mathcal{I} \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}$. Then $p = p_n \notin \mathcal{L}$ for some n . Note that $(1 - e_1)s = 0 = s^*(1 - e_1)$ so that $p_0 \mathcal{A} p_0 = \mathbb{C} p_0$. Hence $p \mathcal{A} p = s^n p_0 s^{*n} \mathcal{A} s^n p_0 s^{*n} = \mathbb{C} s^n p_0 s^{*n} = \mathbb{C} p$. Since \mathcal{L} is a maximal left ideal of \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{A} p = \mathcal{A}$. So there exist $q \in \mathcal{L}$ and $e \in \mathcal{A} p$ such that $q + e = 1$. Then $e \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{A}(1 - e) = \mathcal{A} q \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. If $x \in \mathcal{L}$ then $e^* x \in \mathcal{L}$, $e^* x(1 - e) \in \mathcal{L}$ and hence $e^* x e \in \mathcal{L}$. Also, $e^* x e \in p \mathcal{A} p = \mathbb{C} p$. Since $p \notin \mathcal{L}$, $e^* x e = 0$. Taking $x = 1 + a^*a$ we get $e^* e + (ae)^* ae = 0$. Since \mathcal{A} has a faithful representation on a Hilbert space (see Barnes [7]) it follows that $e^* e = 0$ and hence that $e = 0$, which is a contradiction.

We must therefore have $\mathcal{A}(1 + a^*a) = \mathcal{A}$. So there exists $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $b(1 + a^*a) = 1$ and $(1 + a^*a)b^* = 1$. Hence $1 + a^*a$ is invertible. ■

2. The recurrence formula (1) has coefficients that are asymptotically constant and hence a theorem of Poincaré (see, for example, Agarwal [1]) provides the order of magnitude of the solutions. This affords a proof only that $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ contains E .

3. Take $G(t, \lambda)$ as in [7], i.e.

$$G(t, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \pi_n(\lambda).$$

The recurrence formula for π_n is

$$\lambda \pi_n(\lambda) = \pi_{n+1}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{4N} \pi_{n-1}(\lambda)$$

with $\pi_0(\lambda) = 1$ and $\pi_1(\lambda) = \lambda$. This leads easily to

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{t^2}{4N} \right) - t\mu \right] G(t, \mu) = 1 \quad (|t| < 2).$$

Now let $\lambda(t) = 1/t + t/(4N)$. This maps the region $0 < |t| < 2$ conformally onto the complement of E and we get the resolvent formula

$$[\lambda(t) - \mu]^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n+1} \pi_n(\mu).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, *Difference equations and inequalities. Theory, methods, and applications*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 155. (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992).
- [2] B.A. Barnes, Representations of the ℓ_1 -algebra of an inverse semigroup, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 218 (1976), 361–396.
- [3] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, (New York: Springer Verlag 1973).
- [4] M.J. Crabb and W.D. Munn, The ℓ_0^1 -algebra of a polycyclic monoid, in preparation.
- [5] H.G. Dales, Banach algebras and automatic continuity. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 24. Oxford Science Publications. *The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000*.
- [6] H.G. Dales, Jakob Laustsen and Charles J. Read, A properly finite Banach $*$ -algebra with a non-zero bounded trace, *Studia Math.* 155 (2003), no. 2, 107–129.
- [7] J. Duncan and J.H. Williamson, Spectra of elements in the measure algebra of a free group, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* 82 (1982), no. 1, 109–120.
- [8] M. Nivat and J.-F. Perrot, Une généralisation du monoïde bicyclique, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A*, 271 (1970), 824–827.
- [9] J.R. Wordingham, Topics in semigroup algebras (Ph.D. Thesis, University of Stirling, 1982).

**Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Arkansas, Research Reports**

233	Spectra in Some Inverse Semigroup Algebras	M.J. Crabb J. Duncan C.M. McGregor	September 03
232	Cauchy Kernels for Some Conformally Flat Manifolds	John Ryan	March 03
231	A Geometric Approach to Transdimensional MCMC	Giovanni Petris Luca Tardella	January 03
230	Introductory Clifford Analysis	John Ryan	December 02
229	Some Conformally Flat Spin Manifolds, Dirac Operators and Automorphic Forms	R. S. Krausshar John Ryan	December 02
228	Clifford and Harmonic Analysis On Cylinders and Tori	R. S. Krausshar John Ryan	December 02
227	A Strong Aperiodic Set Of Tiles In The Hyperbolic Plane	C. Goodman-Strauss	November 02
226	Weak Compactness In Certain Star-Shift Invariant Subspaces	John R. Akeroyd Dmitry Khavinson Harold S. Shapiro	November 02
225	Recent Progress in Sphere Packing	J.H. Conway C. Goodman-Strauss N.J.A. Sloane	October 02
224	Cubic Polyhedra	C. Goodman-Strauss J. Sullivan	October 02
223	Futher Triangle Tilings	C. Goodman-Strauss	October 02
222	Regular Production Systems and Triangle Tilings	C. Goodman-Strauss	October 02